



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	F	TLING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/751,576		12/29/2000	Lok Yan Leung	AUS920000797US1	AUS920000797US1 8367	
35525	7590	07/19/2004		EXAMINER		
IBM COR	` '		LIPMAN, JACOB			
C/O YEE & P.O. BOX		ATES PC		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DALLAS,		0		2134		
				DATE MAILED: 07/19/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

f

			1				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	//			
		09/751,576	LEUNG ET AL.	/			
Office Actio	n Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jacob Lipman	2134				
The MAILING DAT Period for Reply	TE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE MAILING DATE OF - Extensions of time may be avail after SIX (6) MONTHS from the - If the period for reply specified a - If NO period for reply is specified - Failure to reply within the set or	THIS COMMUNICATION. able under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 mailing date of this communication. bove is less than thirty (30) days, a reply d above, the maximum statutory period wextended period for reply will, by statute, later than three months after the mailing	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON added of this communication, even if timely file	imely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.			
Status							
1) Responsive to con	nmunication(s) filed on 29 De	ecember 2000.					
2a) This action is FIN	` · ·	action is non-final.					
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,							
Disposition of Claims	·						
4a) Of the above c 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/ 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/ar 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/	re rejected.	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed Applicant may not re Replacement drawin	equest that any objection to the og sheet(s) including the correct	r. re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Set ion is required if the drawing(s) is of aminer. Note the attached Office	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121((d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119						
12) Acknowledgment is a) All b) Some 1. Certified cop 2. Certified cop 3. Copies of th application f	s made of a claim for foreign * c) None of: Dies of the priority documents Dies of the priority documents e certified copies of the prior from the International Bureau	s have been received in Applica ity documents have been receiv	tion No red in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (2) Notice of Draftsperson's Pate 3) Information Disclosure State Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4.	ent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2134

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 5/26/2001 has been considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 3 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. With regard to claims 3 and 22, the term "Java" is a trademark, and should not appear in the claims as a limitation (MPEP 2173.05(u)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-14 and 20-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cane et al., US Patent number 5,940,507.

With regard to claims 1-3, 5, 12, 20-22, 24, and 32, as best understood, Cane discloses a method for managing access to data in a processing system (column 1 lines

Application/Control Number: 09/751,576

Art Unit: 2134

17-19) including, receiving a request for key encrypted data, determining whether the requestor is trusted, and sending the decrypted data (column 4 lines 16-37).

With regard to claims 4 and 23, Cane discloses that one of the data items is a key, decrypted by the server key (column 16-26).

With regard to claims 6, 7, 13, 14, 25, and 26, Cane discloses the data is indexed (column 4 lines 37-41).

With regard to claims 8-10 and 27-29, Cane discloses items added to the archive are encrypted (column 3 lines 45-59).

With regard to claims 11, 30, and 31, Cane discloses sending the key with the data (column 4 lines 29-31).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 15-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cane.

With regard to claims 15-19, the examiner takes official notice that processing systems frequently have multiple busses and processors and Ethernet adapters. Cane discloses a processing system, but does not discuss the processors, busses or adapters. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to use Cane's

Application/Control Number: 09/751,576

Art Unit: 2134

secure archiving in any such system for the disclosed motivation of securing privacy of data (column 2 lines 11-14).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacob Lipman whose telephone number is 703-305-0716. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 - 4:00 (M-Th).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Morse can be reached on 703-308-4789. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JL

GREGORY MORSE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TE GGY CENTER 2100

Page 4